

AD_____

Award Number: W81XWH-04-1-0026

TITLE: Increasing Early Detection of Prostate Cancer in African American Men through a Culturally Targeted Print Intervention

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Hayley Thompson, Ph.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: Mount Sinai School of Medicine
New York, New York 10029-6574

REPORT DATE: March 2007

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command
Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release;
Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			<i>Form Approved</i> OMB No. 0704-0188		
<small>Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.</small>					
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 01-03-2007		2. REPORT TYPE Annual		3. DATES COVERED (From - To) 1 Mar 2005 – 28 Feb 2007	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Increasing Early Detection of Prostate Cancer in African American Men through a Culturally Targeted Print Intervention			5a. CONTRACT NUMBER		
			5b. GRANT NUMBER W81XWH-04-1-0026		
			5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S) Hayley Thompson, Ph.D. E-Mail: hayley.thompson@mssm.edu			5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
			5e. TASK NUMBER		
			5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Mount Sinai School of Medicine New York, New York 10029-6574			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012			10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
			11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT Prostate cancer (PCa) incidence and mortality is higher among African American (AA) men compared to all other groups. There is compelling evidence that higher mortality is due to the greater likelihood of AA men to be diagnosed with advanced-stage PCa. PCa screening, specifically prostate-specific antigen test (PSA) and digital rectal exam (DRE), has been shown to increase early-stage diagnoses. Although several organizations recommend annual PCa screening starting at age 45 for AA men, screening among AA men is low. Indeed, interventions to increase screening and the early detection of PCa among AA men are critical. Although culturally targeted health interventions have been found to be effective there are no interventions that have systematically addressed culturally relevant factors in PCa screening among AA men. The primary aim of the proposed study is to develop and evaluate the impact of a culturally targeted (CT) print intervention on PCa screening participation among AA 410 men through a randomized controlled trial. The proposed research also seeks to investigate the mediational pathways (i.e., mechanisms) through which the culturally targeted print intervention impacts screening participation.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS Prostate cancer, African-American men, Print Intervention, Education					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UU	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 7	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC
a. REPORT U	b. ABSTRACT U	c. THIS PAGE U			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)

Table of Contents

Introduction.....	4
Body.....	5
Key Research Accomplishments.....	5
Reportable Outcomes.....	5
Conclusions.....	5
References.....	5
Appendices.....	7

INTRODUCTION

Prostate cancer (PCa) is the leading cause of cancer among American men and African American (AA) men carry a disproportionate amount of this burden. PCa incidence and mortality is 60% and 123% higher, respectively, than that of white men (1;2). It has been proposed the higher mortality rate is due to the possibility that PCa has unique disease characteristics in AA men (3). However, there is compelling evidence that higher mortality is due to higher likelihood of advanced-stage diagnosis (4;5) as AA men are more likely to be diagnosed with PCa at a more advanced stage compared to whites (2). A 2003 report on PCa trends from 1969-1999 (6) revealed that widespread PCa screening - specifically the prostate-specific antigen test (PSA) - led to a marked increase in early-stage diagnoses in the 1980s and was followed by a decline advanced-stage mortality. In other words, as early-stage diagnoses increased, advanced-stage diagnoses decreased, thereby decreasing deaths from advanced-stage disease. In light of the higher rates of advanced-stage diagnosis and mortality among AA men, the promotion of early detection of PCa through screening has the potential to reduce significant ethnic disparities in cancer. The majority of organizations agree that the benefit of routine screening may be larger among AA men and half recommend that AA men should be offered annual screening in their 40's (7;8). Unfortunately, there is considerable evidence that AA men are less likely to be screened compared to White men (9-13). There are relatively few PCa screening interventions that focus on AA men and these have resulted in only modest increases in screening. One explanation may be that none have systematically addressed culturally relevant factors in PCa screening, even though culturally targeted (CT) health interventions are reported to be more effective than generic interventions. Therefore, it is important to investigate whether a CT intervention is more effective in increasing PCa screening than a generic intervention. The importance of culturally relevant factors is supported by social identity theory (SIT), which defines social identity as that part of the one's self-concept that derives from their knowledge and evaluation of membership in a social group (14). This study is also guided in the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) (15). TPB posits that behavior is predicted by several variables: intention to engage in a behavior; attitudes (one's evaluation of a behavior); perceived group norms (one's perception of reference group desires that the individual participate in the behavior); and perceived behavioral control (one's appraisal of his or her ability to engage in the behavior). Studies have reported that attitudes, norms, and behavioral control were significant predictors of intention to participate in cancer screening (16) and intention was the strongest predictor of actual participation (17). This is consistent with findings that intention to participate in PCa screening significantly predicted screening participation (18).

In the current research, we expect that the association between the CT intervention and adherence to PCa screening guidelines will be mediated by screening intention, attitudes, perceived group norms and behavioral control over screening, as well as PCa knowledge and perceived risk. In other words, individuals who will be most likely to participate in screening following an intervention are those who experience substantive changes in these variables. For reasons addressed by social identity theory, it is also proposed here that a CT intervention will lead to greater changes in these mediating variables and therefore have a greater impact on screening participation. The identification of culturally relevant factors that may be addressed in a PCa screening intervention is essential. Additionally the study will examine three important culturally relevant factors to include in a PCa screening intervention, medical mistrust, and collectivism.

HYPOTHESES : The objectives of the proposed research are to: 1) develop and evaluate the impact of a culturally targeted (CT) print intervention on prostate cancer (PCa) screening participation in a sample of 410 AA men through a randomized controlled trial, and 2) to investigate the mediational pathways (i.e., mechanisms) through which the culturally targeted print intervention impacts screening participation. Hypothesis 1: Participants in the CT condition will report greater PCa screening participation following that intervention compared to men in the generic intervention condition. Hypothesis 2: Men in the CT intervention will report greater changes in screening intention,

attitudes, group norms, behavioral control, PCa knowledge and perceived PCa risk, and these variables will mediate the impact of the CT intervention on screening participation. Exploratory Hypothesis 1: Culturally relevant variables will moderate the impact of the CT intervention such that men with stronger ethnic identity, medical mistrust, spiritual faith, and collectivist attitudes will benefit more from the culturally targeted intervention.

BODY

Since February 2006, we have addressed the following tasks outlined in the SOW: 1) recruit participants, conduct baseline assessments for randomized controlled trial evaluating a culturally targeted print intervention with a generic print intervention; 2) conduct follow-up assessments; 3) conduct six-month follow-up interviews. In November 2006, the amendment to our protocol allowing us to change our recruitment strategy was approved. Since that time, 62 participants were consented to the study. Six-month follow-up data was collected from 9 of these men. We also received a one-year no-cost extension in February 2007. Please note that since that extension and approval of protocol renewal by the Mount Sinai IRB, we have consented an additional 14 men. Furthermore, we have screened an additional 40 men who are eligible and will be scheduled to attend a data collection session within the next 1-2 months and we have over 132 men interested in the study and waiting to be screened. If we include men who were part of pilot work to test the new recruitment strategy, we have baseline data for 127 men and 6-month data for 42 men.

The SOW also included interim data analyses, report and presentations. Due to changes in our recruitment strategy and insufficient data, this part of the SOW was not completed. However, final data analyses, report and presentations will be completed in this no-cost extension year as indicated in the SOW.

KEY RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS

1. Successful and ongoing recruitment of men to participate in RCT.
2. Administration of 127 baseline and follow-up assessments
3. Administration of 42 six month follow-up assessments

REPORTABLE OUTCOMES

To date, we have no reportable outcomes. As stated above, final data analyses, report, and presentations will be completed in this no-cost extension year as indicated in the SOW.

CONCLUSIONS

Our amended recruitment strategy has proved extremely successful and we will continue recruitment, baseline and follow-up assessment, and 6-month assessment. We now have sufficient data to begin data analysis and we will more actively pursue publication and presentation opportunities.

REFERENCES:

- (1) Andersen M, Smith R, Meischke H, Bowen D, Urban N. Breast cancer worry and mammography use by women with and without a family history in a population-based sample. *Cancer, Epidemiology, Biomarkers and Prevention* 2003; 12:314-320.
- (2) Stanford JL, Stephenson RA, Coyle LM, Cerhan J, Correa R, Eley JW et al. *Prostate Cancer Trends 1973-1995*. NIH Pub. No. 99-4543 ed. Bethesda, MD: National Cancer Institute, 1999.

- (3) Thompson IM, Tangen CM, Tolcher A, Crawford ED, Eisenberger M, Moinpour CM. Association of African American ethnic background with survival in men with metastatic prostate cancer. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* 2001; 93:219-225.
- (4) Merrill RM, Lyon J.L. Explaining the difference in prostate cancer mortality rates between white and black men in the United States. *Urology* 2000; 55(5):730-735.
- (5) Hoffman RM, Gilliland FD, Eley JW, Harlan LC, Stephenson RA, Stanford JL et al. Racial and ethnic differences in advanced-stage prostate cancer: the Prostate Cancer Outcomes Study. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2001; 93(5):388-395.
- (6) Chu KC, Tarone RE, Freeman HP. Trends in prostate cancer mortality among black men and white men in the United States. *Cancer* 2003; 97(6):1507-1516.
- (7) Smith R.A., von Eschenbach AC, Wender R, Levin B, Byers T, Rothenberger D et al. American Cancer Society Guidelines for the Early Detection of Cancer: Update of Early Detection Guidelines for Prostate, Colorectal and Endometrial Cancers. *CA - A Cancer Journal for Clinicians* 2002; 52(1):8-22.
- (8) American Urological Association. Prostate-Specific Antigen (PSA) Best Practice Policy. *Oncology* 2000; 14(2):267-286.
- (9) Weinrich SP, Boyd MD, Weinrich M, Greene F, Reynolds WA, Metlin C. Increasing prostate cancer screening in African American men with peer- educator and client-navigator interventions. *J Cancer Educ* 1998; 13(4):213-219.
- (10) Weinrich SP, Reynolds WA, Jr., Tingen MS, Starr CR. Barriers to prostate cancer screening. *Cancer Nurs* 2000; 23(2):117-121.
- (11) Tingen MS, Weinrich SP, Heydt DD, Boyd MD, Weinrich MC. Perceived benefits: a predictor of participation in prostate cancer screening. *Cancer Nurs* 1998; 21(5):349-357.
- (12) Steele CB, Miller DS, Maylahn C, Uhler RJ, Baker CT. Knowledge, attitudes, and screening practices among older men regarding prostate cancer. *Am J Public Health* 2000; 90(10):1595-1600.
- (13) Demark-Wahnefried W, Strigo T, Catoe K, Conaway M, Brunetti M, Rimer BK et al. Knowledge, beliefs, and prior screening behavior among blacks and whites reporting for prostate cancer screening. *Urology* 1995; 46(3):346-351.
- (14) Tajfel H. : Social categorization, social identity, and social comparison. *Human Groups and Social Categories*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1981.
- (15) Ajzen I. The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes* 1991; 50:179-211.
- (16) Michels TC, Carter WB, Taplin SM, Kugler JP. Barriers to screening: The Theory of Reasoned Action applied to mammography use in a military beneficiary population. *Military Medicine* 1995; 160(9):431-437.
- (17) Rutter DR. Attendance and reattendance for breast cancer screening: A prospective 3-year test of the Theory of Planned Behavior. *British Journal of Health Psychology* 2000; 5:1-13.

- (18) Myers RE, Chodak GW, Wolf TA, Burgh DY, McGrory GT, Marcus SM et al. Adherence by African American men to prostate cancer education and early detection. Cancer 1999; 86(1):88-104.

APPENDICES:

There were no relevant appendices for the past year.

PRINCIPLE INVESTIGATOR:

Hayley Thompson, PhD
Assistant Professor
Mount Sinai School of Medicine
Program for Cancer Prevention and Control
Department of Oncological Sciences
One Gustave Levy Place, Box 1130
New York, NY 10029-6574
Telephone: 1-212-659-5648
Fax: 1-212-659-5507
Email: Hayley.thompson@mssm.edu